

Response to Restriction Requirement dated January 23, 2009

Species C: Figure 14(a-d) and 16(a-b);

Species D: Figures 24-27(b); and

Species E: Figure 28(a)-32(b).

Applicants are also required to list all claims readable on the elected species. The Office Action states that no claims appear generic.


Applicants expressly take no further position as to the correctness of the Restriction Requirement. However, Applicants elect species D, represented by Figures 24-27(b), because of the administrative requirement that an election be made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.142; MPEP § 818.03(b). Accordingly, claims 13 and 15-19 are elected and claims 1-12, 14 and 20-26 are withdrawn by this Response.

The foregoing is submitted as a full and complete Response to the Election of Species mailed January 23, 2009, and early and favorable consideration of the claims is requested.

Withdrawal of the outstanding restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. §121, and examination on the merits is respectfully requested. No fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-0951.

Date: Feb. 6, 2009

Respectfully submitted,



J. Rodman Stegle, Jr.
Registration No. 25,931
Gregory M. Lefkowitz
Registration No. 56,216
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
Post Office Box 3188
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188
Telephone: (561) 653-5000